IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION

VEDDICT				
	*			
Defendants.	*			
	*	VERDICT FORM		
RICK LEEDOM,	*			
VERMEER MANUFACTURING CO. and	*			
	*			
V.	*			
,	*			
Plaintiff,	*			
	*	4:03-cv-90174		
DENISE R. HITE,	*			
	*			

VERDICT

Note:

Complete this form by writing in the names required by your verdict.

Form 1:

On Plaintiff's claim of retaliation in violation of the Family Medical Leave Act, as defined in Instruction 5, we find by the greater weight of the evidence in favor of:

PLAINTIFF DENISE HITE

(Plaintiff Denise Hite) Or (Defendants Vermeer Manufacturing Co & Rick Leedom)

Z/16/05 DATE

OREPERSON

If you found for Plaintiff on Form 1, please have your foreperson sign and date above and go on to answer the question in form 1A. If you found for Defendants, have your foreperson sign and date above and do not answer any further questions.

\mathbf{F}	'orm	1	A	
T.	от ш		$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	

Have Def	fendants proved, by	the greater v	veight of the evidence, that Defendants would have
taken the adve	rse employment ac	tion against t	he Plaintiff even if Defendants had not considered
Plaintiff's use	of FMLA leave?	НО	_(Yes or No)
If you found fo	or Plaintiff on Form	l and wrote	"No" in Form 1A, answer the following question:
1) We	find Plaintiff's dam	nages, as defi	ned in Final Instruction No. 11, to be:
	would have earned had not violated he	in her emplo er rights under s and benefits	salary, and employment benefits Plaintiff byment with Defendants if the Defendants or the Family Medical Leave Act (less the s from other employment received by
2)	We find that Defer faith, as defined in		No. 12. ("Did" or "Did Not") act in good
			_
	16/05		FOREPERSON Vannoy